arXiv:1410.3102v4 [math-ph] 22 Oct 2014

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE SPECTRUM OF THE SQUARE FIBONACCI HAMILTONIAN

WILLIAM YESSEN

Abstract. Denoting the Hausdorff dimension of the Fibonacci Hamiltonian with coupling λ by HD_λ, we prove that for all but countably many λ, the Hausdorff dimension of the spectrum of the square Fibonacci Hamiltonian with coupling λ is $\min\{2\mathrm{HD}_\lambda, 1\}$. Our proof relies on the dynamics of the Fibonacci trace map in combination with the recent result of M. Hochman and P. Shmerkin on the Hausdorff dimension of sums of Cantor sets which are attractors of regular iterated function systems (*Local entropy averages and projections of fractal measures*, Ann. Math. **175** (2012), 1001–1059).

1. Introduction

Recall that the Fibonacci Hamiltonian, a bounded self-adjoint operator acting on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, is defined as

$$(H_\lambda \phi)_n = \phi_{n+1} + \phi_{n-1} + \lambda \omega_n \phi_n,$$

with $\lambda > 0$ and $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ given by

$$\omega_n = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(n\alpha + \omega_0 \mod 1),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2}$, the inverse of the golden mean, and $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. This operator has been widely studied in the context of electronic transport properties of quasicrystals for the past thirty years (see [3, 6] and references therein for details). It is known that the spectrum of H_λ is independent of ω_0, and is a Cantor set of zero Lebesgue measure. Fractal properties of the spectrum, such as its Hausdorff dimension, play an important role in the understanding of the quantum dynamics [7, 10]. Today, the spectrum as well as the quantum dynamical properties of H_λ are more or less completely understood [6]. Recently, the focus has began to shift towards the so-called *square Fibonacci Hamiltonian*, which acts on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ and is given by

$$(H_\lambda^2 \phi)_{n,m} = \phi_{n+1,m} + \phi_{n-1,m} + \phi_{n,m+1} + \phi_{n,m-1} + \lambda(\omega_n + \omega_m)\phi_{n,m};$$

(see [5] and references therein). Unlike in the one-dimensional case, very little is known about the square Fibonacci Hamiltonian.

Let us denote the spectrum of H_λ by Σ_λ, and that of H_λ^2 by Σ_λ^2. It is known from the general principles in spectral theory (see, for example, Appendix A in [5]) that

$$\Sigma_\lambda^2 = \Sigma_\lambda + \Sigma_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a + b : a, b \in \Sigma_\lambda\}.$$

Date: October 24, 2014.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B36, 82B44, 28A80, 81Q35.

Supported by the NSF grant DMS-1304287.

Denote the Hausdorff dimension of Σ_λ by HD_λ, and of Σ_λ^2 by HD_λ^2. Given that Σ_λ is a Cantor set, questions about the topology and the fractal dimensions of Σ_λ^2 are highly nontrivial, while such detailed information about Σ_λ^2 is desirable. It is known that when $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently small, Σ_λ^2 is an interval. It is also known that for all λ sufficiently large, Σ_λ^2 is a Cantor set of Hausdorff dimension strictly smaller than one, and hence of zero Lebesgue measure. These results rely on quantitative estimates of HD_λ (see [3] for an overview), but do not give HD_λ^2 explicitly in terms of HD_λ. In general, however, it is known that

$$\mathrm{HD}_\lambda^2 \leq \min\{2\mathrm{HD}_\lambda, 1\} \tag{1}$$

(see [11, Theorem 8.10(2)] and use the fact that the box-counting dimension of Σ_λ coincides with its Hausdorff dimension – see [6, Theorem 1.1]). In this paper we prove

Theorem 1.1. *For all by countably many $\lambda > 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{HD}_\lambda^2 = \min\{2\mathrm{HD}_\lambda, 1\}.$$

Remark 1.2. We believe the statement of Theorem 1.1 holds for all λ. Also, due to our techniques, the countable set of exceptions turns out to be dense in $(0, \infty)$.

Let us point out that slightly more general families of square Hamiltonians have been considered (e.g. [5] and references therein) where in the definition of H_λ^2, $\lambda(\omega_n + \omega_m)$ is replaced by $\lambda_1\omega_{1,n} + \lambda_2\omega_{2,m}$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in (0, \infty)$, and for $i = 1, 2$, $\omega_{i,k} = \chi_{[1-\alpha,1)}(k\alpha + \omega_i \mod 1)$ for some $\omega_i \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Let us denote this more general Hamiltonian by $H^2_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$, and the Hausdorff dimension of its spectrum by $\mathrm{HD}^2_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}$. The spectrum of the Hamiltonian is given by $\Sigma_{\lambda_1} + \Sigma_{\lambda_2}$, where Σ_{λ_i} is the spectrum of the Hamiltonian H_{λ_i} (see Appendix A in [5]). Our techniques apply to this case as well; that is, we have

Theorem 1.3. *For every $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$ fixed and for all but countably many $\lambda_2 \in (0, \infty)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{HD}^2_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} = \min\{\mathrm{HD}_{\lambda_1} + \mathrm{HD}_{\lambda_2}, 1\}.$$

Our proof relies on the dynamics of the Fibonacci trace map and the recent theorem of M. Hochman and P. Shmerkin on the Hausdorff dimension of sums of regular[1] Cantor sets [9]. The Hochman-Shmerkin result has been applied in many works since it first appeared; however, to the best of our knowledge, the present work is the first application to a concrete physical model.

We should also point out that the Hochman-Shmerkin theorem is a generalization of previous results [8,12,14]; however, we had not been able to verify the hypothesis of the previous theorems (we emphasize in particular [8][2]).

2. Proof

As mentioned above, our proof relies on the dynamics of the Fibonacci trace map and an application of the Hochman-Shmerkin theorem. Let us briefly describe our approach.

[1]Sometimes regular Cantor sets are also called *dynamically defined*.

[2]Via private communication, Moreira presented to us a sketch of a proof that a given pair of Cantor sets satisfies the hypothesis of the Hochman-Shmerkin theorem provided that one of them is an attractor of a C^2 iterated function system that is not conjugate to a linear one; however, we work with systems that are $C^{1+\alpha}$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

2.1. **Background.** For $E \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\ell_\lambda(E) = \left(\frac{E-\lambda}{2}, \frac{E}{2}, 1\right). \tag{2}$$

Notice that ℓ_λ is a line lying on the smooth surface

$$S_\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{(x,y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2+y^2+z^2-2xyz-1 = \frac{\lambda^2}{4}\right\}.$$

Now define the *Fibonacci trace map* $f : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ by

$$f(x,y,z) = (2xy-z, x, y).$$

It is easily verified that $f(S_\lambda) = S_\lambda$ for every λ. Furthermore, for every $\lambda \neq 0$, $f|_{S_\lambda}$ is an Axiom A diffeomorphism [1, 2, 4]. It is known that $E \in \Sigma_\lambda$ if and only if the forward orbit of $\ell_\lambda(E)$, $\{f^n(\ell_\lambda(E))\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, is bounded [16]. Using the Axiom A property of f, it is proved that $\ell_\lambda(\Sigma_\lambda)$ is precisely the intersection set of the line $\ell_\lambda(\mathbb{R})$ with the stable lamination. This implies that Σ_λ is a regular Cantor set [6, Theorem 1.1]. The conclusion of Theorem 1.1 is then obtained by an application of the Hochman-Shmerkin theorem (Corollary 1.5 in [9]). Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of verifying the assumptions of the Hochman-Shmerkin theorem.

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** It is known that the stable lamination on S_λ intersects ℓ_λ transversally [6, Section 2]. Furthermore, it is easily deduced from [4, Section 3], using continuity of the stable lamination in the parameter λ, that for all $\lambda > 0$ sufficiently small and for every f-periodic point $p \in S_\lambda$, the stable manifold of p, $W^s(p)$, intersects ℓ_λ. It follows that for all $\lambda > 0$ and every f-periodic $p \in S_\lambda$, $W^s(p)$ intersects ℓ_λ.

Now, for any $\lambda > 0$, take two periodic points $p, q \in S_\lambda$ not in the same periodic orbit of (minimal) periods n_p and n_q, respectively. Denote their stable manifolds by $W^s(p)$ and $W^s(q)$, and the unstable manifolds by $W^u(p)$ and $W^u(q)$, respectively. Denote the stable lamination on S_λ by W^s_λ. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact interval along $W^u(p)$ (respectively, $W^u(q)$) of length at most ϵ containing p (respectively, q) as one of its endpoints, which we denote by I_p (respectively, I_q) such that the intersection of this interval with W^s_λ is a Cantor set; moreover, this Cantor set can be realized as the attractor of a regular Iterated Function System, or IFS for short (see [9, §9 and §11] for definitions). One of the contracting functions of this IFS is $f^{-n_p}|_{W^u(p)}$ (respectively, $f^{-n_q}|_{W^u(q)}$) whose fixed point is p (respectively, q) (see, for example, [13, §1 in Chapter 4 and Appendix 2] for the details). With $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we can define *holonomy maps* h_p (respectively, h_q) from I_p (respectively, I_q) onto some interval along ℓ_λ, $\tilde{I}_p$ (respectively, $\tilde{I}_q$), such that this map is a $C^{1+\alpha}$ diffeomorphism and for every leaf $\eta \in W^s_\lambda$, h_p (respectively, h_q) maps $\eta \cap I_p$ (respectively, $\eta \cap I_q$) onto $\eta \cap \tilde{I}_p$ (respectively, $\eta \cap \tilde{I}_q$); see Figure 1 for an illustration. It follows that $\ell_\lambda \cap W^s_\lambda$ contains two Cantor subsets, $\tilde{I}_p \cap W^s_\lambda$ and $\tilde{I}_q \cap W^s_\lambda$, which can be realized as the attractors of two IFSs, one containing the function $h_p \circ f^{-n_p} \circ h_p^{-1}$, and the other $h_q \circ f^{-n_q} \circ h_q^{-1}$, having the fixed points $W^s(p) \cap \ell_\lambda$ and $W^s(q) \cap \ell_\lambda$, respectively.

Notice that for $s = p, q$,

$$-\log df^{-n_s}|_{W^u(s)}(s) = \log \left\| Df_s^{n_s}(E^u_s) \right\|,$$



FIGURE 1.

where $E^u(s)$ is a unit vector in the unstable subspace of T_sS_λ, and $Df_s^{n_s}$ is the differential of f^{n_s} at the point s (this follows from the fact that f is area preserving). We call $\|Df_s^{n_s}(E_s^u)\|$ *the unstable multiplier* of s.

Let us now show that there exists a countable $D \subset (0,\infty)$, such that there exist periodic $p, q \in S_\lambda$ with

$$\frac{\log \left\|Df_p^{n_p}(E_p^u)\right\|}{\log \left\|Df_q^{n_q}(E_q^u)\right\|} \notin \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in (0,\infty) \setminus D. \tag{3}$$

(Dependence on λ in (3) is implicit in the notation; namely, $p, q \in S_\lambda$).

For $a \in (0,\infty)$, let us consider the two periodic points, p_a and q_a in S_a, given by

$$p_a = (-\frac{1}{2}, g_p(a), -\frac{1}{2}) \quad \text{and} \quad q_a = (0, g_q(a), 0),$$

where

$$g_p(a) = \frac{1+\sqrt{9+16a}}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad g_q(a) = \sqrt{a+1}.$$

Let us compute the unstable multipliers of p_a and q_a, which are given by the larger (in absolute value) of the two roots of the following equations, respectively (see [15, p. 850]).

$$\mu + \frac{1}{\mu} = 8g_p(a)(1-2g_p(a)) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu + \frac{1}{\mu} = 2(8g_q(a)^4 + 1).$$

After computing the larger (in absolute value) of the two roots for each equation, we obtain, respectively,

$$-\frac{8g_p(a)(1-2g_p(a)) + 1 - \sqrt{(8g_p(a)(1-2g_p(a))+1)^2 - 4}}{2} \tag{4}$$

and

$$8g_q(a)^4 + 1 + \sqrt{(8g_q(a)^4+1)^2 - 1}. \tag{5}$$

Clearly both are analytic in a for $a \in (0,\infty)$ and differ for values of a, so (3) follows. But then it follows that the pair of iterated function systems determining

the Cantor sets $\tilde{I}_p \cap W^s_\lambda$ and $\tilde{I}_q \cap W^s_\lambda$ satisfies the hypothesis of [9, Corollary 1.5] (see the paragraph following the statement of the corollary). This gives

$$\mathrm{HD}^2_\lambda \geq \min\{2\mathrm{HD}_\lambda, 1\}$$

(the inequality follows from the fact that the Hausdorff dimensions of $\tilde{I}_p \cap W^s$ and $\tilde{I}_q \cap W^s$ coincide with HD_λ). On the other hand, we have (1).

The proof is finished by noting that ℓ_λ is a smooth embedding of $\mathbb{R}$ into S_λ. It is also clear that the same technique can be applied to prove Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgement

I greatfully acknowledge helpful correspondence with David Damanik, Anton Gorodetski, Carlos Moreira, and Pablo Shmerkin. I would also like to thank Jake Fillman and Boris Solomyak for their helpful remarks.

References

[1] S. Cantat, *Bers and Hénon, Painlevé and Schrödinger*, Duke Math. J. **149** (2009), 411–460.
[2] M. Casdagli, *Symbolic dynamics for the renormalization map of a quasiperiodic Schrödinger equation*, Commun. Math. Phys. **107** (1986), 295–318.
[3] D. Damanik, M. Embree, and A. Gorodetski, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators arising in the study of quasicrystals*, preprint (arXiv:1210.5753).
[4] D. Damanik and A. Gorodetski, *Hyperbolicity of the trace map for the weakly coupled Fibonacci Hamiltonian*, Nonlinearity **22** (2009), 123–143.
[5] D. Damanik, A. Gorodetski, and B. Solomyak, *Absolutely continuous convolutions of singular measures and an application to the square Fibonacci Hamiltonian*, preprint (arXiv:1306.4284).
[6] D. Damanik, A. Gorodetski, and W. Yessen, *The Fibonacci Hamiltonian*, preprint (arXiv:1403.7823).
[7] D. Damanik, S. Tcheremchantsev, *A general description of quantum dynamical spreading over an orthonormal basis and applications to Schrödinger operators*, Discr. Cont. Dynam. Syst. **28** (2010), 1381–1412.
[8] C. G. T. de A. Moreira, *Sums of regular Cantor sets, dynamics and applications to number theory*, Period. Math. Hungar. **37** (1998), 55–63.
[9] M. Hochman and P. Shmerkin, *Local entropy averages and projections of fractal measures*, Ann. Math. **175** (2012), 1001–1059.
[10] Y. Last, *Quantum dynamics and decompositions of singular continuous spectra*, J. Funct. Analysis **142** (1996), 406–445.
[11] P. Mattila, *Geometry of sets and measures in Euclidean spaces*, Cambridge studies in mathematics, Cambridge University Press **44** (1995).
[12] F. Nazarov, Y. Peres, and P. Shmerkin, *Convolutions of Cantor measures without resonance*, Israel J. Math. **187** (2012), 93–116.
[13] J. Palis and F. Takens, *Hyperbolicity and sensetive chaotic dynamics at homoclinic bifurcations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
[14] Y. Peres and P. Shmerkin, *Resonance between Cantor sets*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **29** (2009), 201–221.
[15] J. A. G. Roberts and M. Baake, *Trace maps as 3D reversible dynamical systems with an invariant*, J. Stat. Phys. **74** (1994), 829–888.
[16] A. Sütő, *The spectrum of a quasiperiodic Schrödinger operator*, Commun. Math. Phys. **111** (1987), 409–415.

E-mail address: yessen@rice.edu

Mathematics, Rice University, 1600 Main St. MS-136, Houston, TX 77005